TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

In the Matter of:			
William F. Crowell		WT Docket No. 08-20	
DATE OF HEARING:	_April 2, 2008	VOLUME:	_1
PLACE OF HEARING:_	_WASHINGTON	N, D.C PAGES:_	1-34
	NEAL R. GROS	S & CO., INC.	
	1323 RHODE ISLAN WASHINGTON	ID AVENUE, NW	

TELEPHONE (202) 234-4433

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

+ + + + +

PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE

IN THE MATTER OF: | WT Docket No. 08-20

WILLIAM F. CROWELL,

FCC File No. 0002928684

Application to Renew License for Amateur Radio Service Station W6WBJ

Wednesday, April 2, 2008

Federal Communications Commission Room TW A-363 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C.

The above-entitled matter came on for pre-trial conference, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 a.m.

BEFORE:

ARTHUR I. STEINBERG, Administrative Law Judge

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of the Applicant:

WILLIAM F. CROWELL, ESQ. Licensee/Applicant, in propria persona 1110 Pleasant Valley Road Diamond Springs, CA 95619-9221 (530) 295-0350 fax -0352

On Behalf of the Agency:

REBECCA A. HIRSELJ, ESQ.
Assistant Chief
Investigations & Hearings Division
Enforcement Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Room 4A-236
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-7603
rebecca.hirselj@fcc.gov

<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>

9:34 A.M.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

hearing conference, and if you can't hear me, you just let me know and I -- my voice is kind of -- can kind of get loud and shrill and if I'm talking too loudly let me know. If I'm talking too softly, let me know.

This is a pre-hearing conference in WT Docket Number 08-20 which was set for hearing by Hearing Designation Order DA08-361, released February 12, 2008.

specified The issues seek to determine whether Mr. Crowell intentionally interfered with and/or otherwise interrupted radio communications, whether Mr. Crowell transmitted one-way communications or amateur frequencies, and whether Mr. Crowell transmitted indecent language and/or music on such frequencies.

Ultimately, it must be determined whether Mr. Crowell is qualified to be and

1	remain a Commission licensee and whether the
2	application for renewal of his license should
3	be granted.
4	By order FCC 08M-08, released
5	February 15, 2008, this case was assigned to
6	me and an initial pre-hearing conference was
7	scheduled for this morning.
8	Let me first take the appearances
9	for the parties. On behalf of William F.
10	Crowell?
11	MR. CROWELL: It is I, Your Honor,
12	William F. Crowell, in the flesh.
13	JUDGE STEINBERG: Crowell. I'm
14	sorry, I've been mispronouncing.
15	MR. CROWELL: That's all right.
16	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, and you're
17	participating in this conference by
18	speakerphone, is that correct?
19	MR. CROWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
20	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, and on
21	behalf of the Chief, Enforcement Bureau?
22	MS. HIRSELJ: Rebecca Hirselj.

JUDGE STEINBERG: Let me first
address the pleadings that are currently
pending before me and essentially on March
24th, 2008, Mr. Crowell filed a Motion to
Compel the Enforcement Bureau to answer his
first set of interrogatories. Probably about
ten minutes ago, my legal technician came in
with a pleading entitled Enforcement Bureau's
Opposition to William F. Crowell's Motion to
Compel Answers to his First Set of
Interrogatories, which was filed March 28,
2008. Now, I didn't see this until about ten
minutes ago.
Is everybody prepared to talk
about this?
MS. HIRSELJ: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. CROWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, why don't
you start, Mr. Crowell?
MR. CROWELL: Okay, Your Honor, I
think that we can avoid confronting this issue
of whether you received the Bureau's response

in a timely fashion because, just coincidentally, the Bureau said they were going to respond to my discovery today, the time limit ran out today, so they're going to respond today, and I haven't seen what they've got yet, but may I suggest that maybe a better way to handle it would be if I see what they're going to give me and if I still have problems, I could make a further motion? We haven't had any discovery yet, but they say they're going to give me some today.

JUDGE STEINBERG: Ms. Hirselj?

MS. HIRSELJ: We are certainly endeavoring to finalize our responses today, but one of the items that we were hoping to address with Your Honor was the -- what we excessive, consider to be unnecessary, burdensome scope of the interrogatories, many of which we think are irrelevant to the items that you previously put on the record as the issue you stated in the hearing designation order.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

We are endeavoring to respond to the discovery, but to the extent we can limit the discovery in any fashion to cover just those items that are relevant the to designated issues would certainly help us in our efforts. To the extent we may not be able to respond to all 300 plus interrogatories by the close of business today, if we could have until the end of the week, we could certainly try to do that to the extent that Your Honor is not amenable to cutting back the volume of the interrogatories as served.

JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, I'm prepared to rule on this.

Mr. Crowell is entitled to ask whatever he wants to ask, and the rules of the Commission, unfortunately, unlike the Federal Rules, don't set any kind of limit as to the number of interrogatories that he can ask, whether they be interrogatories or subparts or this, that and the other thing. So I'm going to rule that the Bureau must respond to each

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 of the interrogatories by either answering 2 them or objecting to them. 3 I'm certainly not going to go 4 through a 25-page pleading or however long it 5 is, and read every interrogatory and make a determination as to whether it should be 6 7 answered or not. That should be up to the 8 Bureau to decide whether to answer it or 9 object to it. And then if Mr. Crowell gets 10 the answers and objections and he wishes to 11 file another Motion to Compel or an additional 12 Motion to Compel, then he may do so. 13 Just to be on the sort of liberal 14 side, do you think you can answer and object 15 in a week, by a week from today? MS. HIRSELJ: Yes, Your Honor. 16 17 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, do you 18 have any objection to that Mr. Crowell? MR. CROWELL: No, that's fine with 19 20 me, Your Honor. 21 Okay, the JUDGE STEINBERG: SO 22 will object the Bureau answer orto

interrogatories, your interrogatories by whatever a week from today is. I guess it's the 9th. And if the Bureau could -- you've been mailing your stuff to Mr. Crowell, can you email them too?

MS. HIRSELJ: Yes, Mr. Crowell and I spoke with Mr. Schonman last week, perhaps two weeks ago, and we agreed that all pleadings would be served by mail and email.

JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. And it goes both ways, please.

MR. CROWELL: Oh, yes.

JUDGE STEINBERG: And also the Bureau is right in the building here with me, so they've been hand-carrying and leaving with my legal technician or someone else in the copies οf what they've been office, submitting, but Mr. Crowell, if you could either fax whatever you file or whatever you serve on the Bureau to me, either fax it to me or email to me as you've done with some of the other pleadings, I'd appreciate it. And

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	unless that's done, I really can have no
2	confidence unless I personally get it from
3	either the Bureau or from you by email or by
4	fax, there really I really have no
5	confidence that I will have everything that
6	I'm supposed to have because the mail system
7	here has been screwed up for eight years and
8	we can't do anything to unscrew it.
9	MR. CROWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
10	JUDGE STEINBERG: So for instance,
11	I first got the Bureau's opposition this
12	morning.
13	Okay, so that takes care of the
14	motion to compel.
15	MS. HIRSELJ: Your Honor, if I
16	could clarify our answer to you on the 9^{th} ,
17	not up to the 9^{th} so that we have until the
18	close of business?
19	JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes, you have
20	until close of business on the 9th.
21	MS. HIRSELJ: Thank you, Your
22	Honor.

1	JUDGE STEINBERG: The next thing
2	is Mr. Crowell filed on March 24th a request
3	for judicial notice, and by my calculations a
4	response is due today if anything is going to
5	be filed.
6	MS. HIRSELJ: I calculated our
7	deadline as tomorrow.
8	JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, four plus
9	three, did I miss a holiday?
10	MS. HIRSELJ: I think I did ten
11	plus three as the
12	JUDGE STEINBERG: There's no ten.
13	MS. HIRSELJ: Okay.
14	JUDGE STEINBERG: You've been
15	using 1.294?
16	MS. HIRSELJ: I can't remember the
17	rule number. But I assumed it was ten as
18	opposed to an interrogatory. That could have
19	been my mistake.
20	JUDGE STEINBERG: No, we never
21	okay, for hearing proceedings we use 1.294.
22	There's another rule in there concerning

1	responses to pleadings. I think it's 1.45.
2	MS. HIRSELJ: I think that's what
3	I referred to.
4	JUDGE STEINBERG: We never use
5	1.45, ever, ever.
6	MS. HIRSELJ: My mistake, Your
7	Honor. Thank you.
8	JUDGE STEINBERG: That's okay.
9	It's 1.294. Because basically everything we
10	do in a hearing is interlocutory, and unless
11	there's a rule in the part of the rules that
12	concerns hearing proceedings, let me start
13	that again.
14	Let me find the index. Okay,
15	there's subpart B which runs from Section
16	1.201 forward and unless there's a rule in
17	subpart B which gives you a longer or shorter
18	period of time than 1.294, then we use 1.294.
19	Okay, and that should put everybody on the
20	same page.
21	MR. CROWELL: All right.
22	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, do you

1	want a little additional time to respond to
2	that if you're going to do it?
3	MR. CROWELL: Are you asking me,
4	Your Honor?
5	JUDGE STEINBERG: No, the Bureau.
6	MS. HIRSELJ: I anticipate we can
7	probably get something on file by the end of
8	the day or tomorrow at the latest.
9	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Let me
10	tell you, generally, something like a request
11	for official notice, when we set up a hearing
12	schedule, one of the dates will be for an
13	exhibit exchange, and usually I say well, I
14	always say if there's something you want me to
15	take official notice of you make it an exhibit
16	and request official notice at the time of the
17	hearing. So it might be a little premature
18	for me to be taking official notice of stuff
19	now.
20	MR. CROWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
21	JUDGE STEINBERG: So I just want
22	to generally say that about that.

1	MR. CROWELL: All I was really
2	asking was that maybe you could read MN&O, if
3	you don't mind, and I think it's quite
4	analogous to this case, really.
5	JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, you can
6	argue that at the appropriate time, which
7	might be in findings and conclusions after the
8	hearing.
9	MR. CROWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
10	JUDGE STEINBERG: I did notice,
11	what was it, a letter no, I'm not going to
12	say anything more.
13	Okay, now let's turn to discovery.
14	Does the Bureau anticipate any discovery?
15	MS. HIRSELJ: Yes, Your Honor.
16	JUDGE STEINBERG: And what do you
17	anticipate doing?
18	MS. HIRSELJ: I anticipate we'll
19	serve written interrogatories, request for
20	production, request for documents upon Mr.
21	Crowell and once we receive responses and
22	response information, I anticipate depositions

1	will be necessary.
2	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, and Mr.
3	Crowell, you've got the interrogatories, and
4	I dealt with the request for production of
5	documents.
6	MR. CROWELL: Yes, I may need to
7	propound another set of interrogatories,
8	depending on the Bureau's responses to my
9	first set.
10	JUDGE STEINBERG: Sure.
11	MR. CROWELL: And I also
12	anticipate propounding a request for I'm
13	sorry, a request for admissions, basically,
14	request for admit genuineness I'm sorry.
15	Request for admissions.
16	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, I think
17	the time for that has long gone.
18	MR. CROWELL: Oh.
19	JUDGE STEINBERG: That's 1.245, I
20	think. I think you got maybe it's not.
21	1.246. Within 20 days after the time for
22	filing Notice of Appearances expired

1 MR. CROWELL: I see. Okay, then I 2 would say probably, possibly another set of 3 interrogatories or follow-up interrogatories. That's about it. 4 5 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, you know 6 you can calculate the 20 days and when were the notices of appearances due? 7 Well, it doesn't matter. You can all calculate that 8 and if it's too late, it's too late. 9 10 MR. CROWELL: Okay. 11 JUDGE STEINBERG: Let me tell you on discovery, forgetting about the first of 12 13 which interrogatories, we've already discussed, but if you have disagreements and 14 15 difficulties, I would expect you to call each other up and try to work them out and resolve 16 17 it to the best of your ability, and I expect the effort to be genuine and not just for the 18 sake of showing that you made a phone call. 19 20 Only if you absolutely can't agree 21 something or you can't compromise on

something, only in that circumstance do I want

1	you to come to me for a ruling. Now I already
2	anticipate there's going to be another Motion
3	to Compel, so we won't worry about that. But
4	for anything after the first set of
5	interrogatories, is that understood?
6	MR. CROWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
7	MS. HIRSELJ: Yes, Your Honor.
8	JUDGE STEINBERG: And let me also
9	turn to some next turn to some other
10	matters. I've covered the courtesy copy bit.
11	Now, I noticed that on Mr.
12	Crowell's pleadings in the caption you've got
13	FCC 08M-08 at the top of everything.
14	MR. CROWELL: Yes.
15	JUDGE STEINBERG: That shouldn't
16	be there.
17	MR. CROWELL: Okay.
18	JUDGE STEINBERG: That's just a
19	reference number to the Chief Judge's February
20	25th order. It doesn't belong in the caption.
21	So just delete all that. Just delete that
22	number.

1	Now secondly, when you mail
2	you're either going to mail or FedEx stuff or
3	overnight it to the FCC, is that correct?
4	MR. CROWELL: Yes.
5	JUDGE STEINBERG: Don't put my
6	name on the outside envelope at all. That
7	only messes things up.
8	MR. CROWELL: Okay.
9	JUDGE STEINBERG: The only name,
10	if there's a name that is the name of the
11	Commission's Secretary, and her name is
12	Marlene H. Dortch, D-O-R-T-C-H. So
13	essentially, anything that you mail to the
14	Commission or overnight should have her name
15	on it and if you you have access, websites,
16	right?
17	MR. CROWELL: Yes, yes.
18	JUDGE STEINBERG: Go to the FCC's
19	website and click on there's something for
20	the Office of the Secretary and there's a
21	little chart someplace in there on how to file

-- on where to file stuff. Because if you

hand deliver it, it goes to one place. If you mail it, it goes to another place. And if you FedEx it, it might go to a third place, I don't know. But I hesitate to tell you where to send it because I can't figure it out myself half the time. But whatever it is, it doesn't have my name on the envelope.

MR. CROWELL: All right.

JUDGE STEINBERG: On the outside envelope or you put your stuff on an envelope within an envelope, even on the inner envelope.

Now where my name goes, and I know said this in one of my orders, and I unfortunately was told that that screws things In between the little thing in up too. between the caption and the title of the pleading, you put "to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal" -- I'll put this in a -order briefly going to write an summarizing what went on today, and if you like, I'll just put this in the order like I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 did the last one. 2 MR. CROWELL: That would be 3 helpful. 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: It's basically. 5 to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal 6 Communications Commission, attention, Arthur 7 I. Steinberg, and that goes in between the -after the end of the caption and before the 8 9 title, because if my name is on the envelope, I'm still getting all original and six copies 10 11 and nothing is being distributed to anybody 12 else. And I know I told you to do it the 13 way I told you to do it, but they told me that 14 15 I was doing it wrong too. And even doing it this way won't guarantee that it gets done the 16 right way, so it's been going on for at least 17 18 eight years. So I don't think I'm going to 19 fix it today, but we're going to make an effort to try to do something about it. 20 Very well, Your 21 MR. CROWELL: 22 Honor.

1 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, now the 2 next thing I want to talk about is the dates 3 and I've got a tentative schedule, which I 4 don't think is realistic given the discovery 5 requests that I've heard, and if we did away 6 with depositions, it was doable, but I don't 7 think -- so let me redo it in my head with my 8 calendar. So if you would bear with me a 9 minute. 10 (Pause.) 11 Okay, I've redone it. These here 12 are the dates I would suggest. Okay. We'll 13 set a date for completion of all discovery, 14 July 3rd, 2008. That gives you three months. 15 MS. HIRSELJ: I think that might 16 be a little optimistic. 17 Really? Okay. JUDGE STEINBERG: 18 MS. HIRSELJ: Perhaps six months. 19 Mr. Crowell, do you have any thoughts? 20 JUDGE STEINBERG: Six months? 21 MS. HIRSELJ: To complete written 22 discovery and --

1	JUDGE STEINBERG: People can
2	almost have babies in six months.
3	(Laughter.)
4	MR. CROWELL: No, I wouldn't have
5	any objection to that procedure, Your Honor.
6	JUDGE STEINBERG: Six months?
7	That means I'm going to be ruling on discovery
8	motions for six months?
9	MS. HIRSELJ: I hope not.
10	MR. CROWELL: No, I think it means
11	that instead, Your Honor, we'd be able to have
12	plenty of time to resolve the case. I think
13	that if Ms. Hirselj and I start talking about
14	the case, maybe we can resolve it some time.
15	JUDGE STEINBERG: Oh, I don't
16	anticipate that. Usually, I'm pretty
17	optimistic about that, but I don't think this
18	is going to be possible. Okay, let me go
19	so this is April, four and six is ten. That's
20	October. I might be retired by then, which in
21	this case it won't be my problem. Okay, let's
22	look at October.

1	MS. HIRSELJ: Maybe we could split
2	the baby, Your Honor. Four and a half months
3	instead of and maybe try to shoot for the
4	hearing at the beginning of October, close of
5	discovery would then be maybe late August?
6	JUDGE STEINBERG: What about Labor
7	Day?
8	MS. HIRSELJ: Early September.
9	JUDGE STEINBERG: When is Labor
10	Day?
11	MS. HIRSELJ: That would give us a
12	month for any motion I'm just concerned
13	that we won't be able to get the written
14	discovery and depositions because I believe
15	most of the witnesses are on the West Coast.
16	JUDGE STEINBERG: Maybe I'll go
17	over and resign.
18	(Laughter.)
19	How does that sound to you, Mr.
20	Crowell?
21	MR. CROWELL: Fine.
22	JUDGE STEINBERG: August 29th?

1	MR. CROWELL: Okay.
2	JUDGE STEINBERG: And that's the
3	Labor Day is early. It is September 1st.
4	Okay.
5	MS. HIRSELJ: Go and enjoy your
6	holiday.
7	JUDGE STEINBERG: Oh, I won't
8	enjoy my holiday.
9	(Laughter.)
10	JUDGE STEINBERG: I'll define what
11	completion of discovery means in a few
12	moments. Let's go to how about September
13	15th for exhibit exchange?
14	MR. CROWELL: Okay.
15	JUDGE STEINBERG: That would be
16	give everybody a little, about three weeks to
17	prepare exhibits? I'll make it a Monday so
18	that you can all work the weekend if you have
19	to, rather than on a Friday. I mean, you
20	might as well screw up your weekend. Would
21	you rather make it Friday the 12th and have a

free weekend?